

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/590,019	Applicant(s) VANHOUTTE ET AL.	
	Examiner David M. Brunsman	Art Unit 1793	

All Participants:

(1) David M. Brunsman.

(2) James Wray.

Date of Interview: 22 July 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
all

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to the Examiner suggested attached examiner's amendment to place application in condition for allowance

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/David M Brunsman/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:35

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)